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\begin{abstract}
Gels have been widely explored in many engineering applications, in which they often swell with mechanical constraints. In this paper, we study mechanics of contact between a constrained swelling gel and a rigid spherical probe. We first derive an analytical form of the surface Green’s function of a laterally constrained swelling gel occupying a half space. We then obtain an analytical form of the relationship between the indentation force and indentation depth for a spherical rigid indenter pressing onto a laterally constrained swelling gel, with and without taking account of the adhesion. Our theory also uncovers the influences of the constrained swelling ratio of the gel on the contact area (as well as its eccentricity), pull-off force and critical distance at separation. The essential parts of the analytical results are validated by finite element simulations. The results obtained in this article may help to better interpret experimental measurements from indentation tests of swollen gels.
\end{abstract}

\section{Introduction}
Gels consist of crosslinked polymer networks and solvent molecules. Gels can swell or shrink by absorbing or extruding the solvent. The volume change of a gel can be as large as several hundred times of its original volume (Tanaka et al., 1982). By incorporating different functional groups on to the polymer chain, gels can be made stimuli responsive: swelling or shrinking in response to external stimuli, such as temperature (Hirotsu et al., 1987; Otake et al., 1990), pH (Tanaka et al., 1980), electric field (Tanaka et al., 1982), and light (Suzuki and Tanaka, 1990). The large deformation and stimuli-responsiveness of gels import them with many engineering applications, such as actuators (Bassil et al., 2008; Gerlach et al., 2005), sensors (Buenger et al., 2012; Richter et al., 2008), microfluidic device (Beebe et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2006), swellable packers (Cai et al., 2010), and many others.

Moreover, gels share many similarities to biological tissues, like low elastic modulus, high fluid content and high fluid permeability. Many synthetic gels are also biocompatible, making them ideal materials in biomedical applications such as drug delivery (Jeong et al., 1997; Merino et al., 2015; Qiu and Park, 2001), tissue engineering (Chaudhuri et al., 2015; Drury and Mooney, 2003; Lee and Mooney, 2001), and biosensors (Annabi et al., 2014; Peppas et al., 2006; Uljin et al., 2007).

In both the engineering settings and the nature bio-systems, the gels and tissues are usually in confined spaces. For example, in the applications of self-regulating valves in microfluidic device (Beebe et al., 2000; Richter et al., 2004) and swellable packers in oil well (Kleverlaan et al., 2005; Qamar et al., 2012), the gels are bonded to rigid posts or pipes, and let swell against the outer boundary to completely seal the microfluidic channel or the oil well. Similarly in nature, plants use...
the constrained swelling gel to regulate the transport of water (Zwieniecki et al., 2001). Moreover, it is often the confined swelling of the brain in the rigid skull after traumatic brain injury (Goriely et al., 2016; Weikemeyer et al., 2016) that causes significant damages to the brain tissues. Therefore, understanding the contact mechanics of the gels under various constrained swelling conditions is of significant importance in generating new understandings of the biological tissues and guiding new engineering designs.

Another motivation of the current study lies in the recent ever-growing interests in using indentation to characterize the mechanical properties of gels and biological tissues due to its simple sample preparation and non-destructive feature (Constantinides et al., 2008; Ebenstein and Pruitt, 2004; Flanigan and Shull, 1999; Hu et al., 2012). Analytical study on the indentation of a constrained swelling gel could potentially improve the accuracy of the indentation tests of the soft gels and biological tissues (Miller et al., 2000; Rausch and Kuhl, 2013), and also promote new designs of indentation tests (Hu et al., 2006; Oyen, 2008).

In recent years, significant efforts have been made in studying the contact mechanics of gels. Based on linear poroelasticity, Hui and coworkers formulate a 2D Hertz problem of a horizontally placed rigid cylinder compressing a half-space gel (Hui et al., 2006). Closed-form solutions are derived for extracting the elastic properties and permeability of gels from indentation tests. The theory is also extended to cylindrical, conical, and spherical indenters, and the results are validated by FEM and experiments (Hu et al., 2010, 2011b; Lin and Hu, 2006). Later, a dynamic oscillation indentation method is also developed based on linear poroelasticity for characterizing gels in small scale using Atomic Force Microscope (Lai and Hu, 2017; Lin et al., 2007). To link the phenomenological poroelastic parameters to the thermodynamic properties of gels, the Flory–Huggins theory is employed and compared with the linear poroelasticity, demonstrating the significant effects of swelling on the properties of gels (Hu et al., 2011b). In addition to poroelastic indentation, works have also been done to study the viscoelastic and viscoporoelastic properties of gels using indentation (Galli et al., 2009; Kaufman et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009; Strange et al., 2013). In all the previous work, the gel sample is assumed to be a half-space sample without any mechanical constraints and anisotropy. However, as mentioned previously, gels often undergo constrained swelling and it is known that the mechanical constraints opposed to the swelling gels have significant effects on the gel properties such as the effective stiffness and anisotropy (Chan et al., 2012; Delavoipière et al., 2016; Galli and Oyen, 2008; Hu et al., 2011a). So far, the effect of constrained swelling, especially the anisotropically constrained swelling, on the indentation of gels has not been systematically explored yet.

In the article, both the non-adhesive and adhesive contact mechanics between a rigid spherical probe and a constrained swelling gel are investigated. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, based on linear analysis, we obtain an analytical form of surface Green’s function of a constrained swelling gel. In the first part of Section 2, the theory of a constrained swelling gel is summarized; in the second part, by assuming that the additional deformation caused by a concentrated force on the surface of the gel is small, we formulate linearized governing equations and boundary conditions for the stress/strain field induced by the force. We solve the surface Green’s function using Fourier transformation and the detailed process is listed in the Appendix. In Section 3, the indentation problem of the constrained swelling gel with a spherical indenter is solved, which is verified using finite element simulations. As in Section 4, the adhesion effect is taken into consideration based on JKR model. Concluding remarks of this study are included in Section 5.

2. Surface Green’s function of a gel under constrained swelling

2.1. Nonlinear field theory of a constrained swelling gel

We first briefly summarize the nonlinear field theory of gels developed by Hong et al. (2008) and apply it to analyze the stress and strain field of a block of gel swelling in a solvent with mechanical constraints, as shown in Fig 1. In the current study, we assume the gel is always in an equilibrium state, indicating that the loading process on the gel is very slow. Taking the dry network as the reference state of the gel (Fig. 1(a)), a material element in the reference state is described by its
coordinate $\mathbf{X}$. After the network absorbs the solvent and reaches an equilibrium state under mechanical load, the element $\mathbf{X}$ in the reference state moves to a new coordinate $\mathbf{x}$ in the swollen state (Fig. 1(b)). The deformation gradient is defined as $F_{ik} = \partial x_i / \partial X_k$.

In the swollen state, the chemical potential of the solvent in the gel reaches a constant value everywhere and equals the chemical potential of the solvent in the external solution $\mu$. The nominal concentration of the solvent in the gel is donated as $C$, which is defined as the number of solvent molecules per unit volume of the dry polymer. As suggested in the previous work (Hong et al., 2008), the free energy density of the gel can be written as

$$\tilde{W} = W_s + W_m - \mu C,$$

where $W_s$ and $W_m$ are the free energy contributions from stretching of polymer network and mixing between the polymer network and solvent, given by Flory–Rehner theory (Flory and Rehner Jr, 1943) as following:

$$W_s = \frac{1}{2} N k T [F_{ik} F_{ik} - 3 - 2 \log (\det F)],$$

$$W_m = -\frac{k T}{\Omega} \left[ C \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Omega C} \right) + \frac{X}{1 + \Omega C} \right],$$

where $N$ is the number of polymer chains per unit volume of dry polymers, $T$ is the temperature, and $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $\Omega$ is the volume of a solvent molecule, and $\chi$ refers to a parameter measuring the enthalpy of mixing. Here we adopt the widely used assumption of molecular incompressibility, namely,

$$J = \det F = 1 + \Omega C.$$

With the above assumption, the free energy of the gel becomes a function of deformation gradient $F$ and chemical potential of solvent $\mu$ only, namely, $\tilde{W} = \tilde{W}(F, \mu)$.

The nominal stress can be calculated from the free energy function as

$$s_{ik} = \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial F_{ik}} (F, \mu).$$

Combining Eqs. (1)–(5), we obtain that

$$s_{ik} = N k T [F_{ik} - (\zeta - \psi) H_{ik}].$$

with

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{N \Omega} \left( \frac{1}{J - 1} - \frac{2 \chi}{J} \right) + 1,$$

$$\psi = \frac{J}{N \Omega} \left[ \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{J} \right) + \frac{1}{J - 1} - \frac{\chi}{J^2} - \frac{\mu}{k T} \right],$$

where $H_{ik} \det F = \partial (\det F) / \partial F_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ik} \epsilon_{ilm} F_{il} F_{lm}$.

The nominal stress $s_{ik}$ should satisfy the force balance equations:

$$s_{ik,k} = 0,$$

and boundary conditions:

$$s_{ik} N_k = T_i,$$

where $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ are the normal vector of the surface and nominal traction in the reference configuration.

We next consider a constrained swelling gel. The gel block is constrained in the $x_1$ and $x_2$ directions with prestretches $\lambda_1^{pre}$ and $\lambda_2^{pre}$ in the two directions, respectively. The swelling ratio of the gel in the third direction $\lambda_3$ can be determined using the stress-free condition in the $x_3$ direction, namely,

$$s_{33} = 0.$$

Substituting $\mathbf{F}^0 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1^{pre}, \lambda_2^{pre}, \lambda_3)$ into Eqs. (6) and (11), we get

$$N k T \left( \lambda_3 - \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \right) + \frac{k T}{\Omega} \left[ \lambda_1^{pre} \lambda_2^{pre} \log \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{pre} \lambda_2^{pre} \lambda_3} \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda_3} + \frac{\chi}{\lambda_1^{pre} \lambda_2^{pre} \lambda_3^2} - \frac{\lambda_1^{pre} \lambda_2^{pre} \mu}{k T} \right] = 0,$$

which can also be simply written as $\zeta - \psi = \lambda_3^{-2}$ using the previously defined symbols in Eqs. (7) and (8).
2.2. Surface Green’s function of a constrained swelling gel

Surface Green’s functions for isotropic and anisotropic linear elastic solids have been obtained before (Barnett and Lothe, 1975; Lur’e, 1964; Willis, 1966), which have been successfully applied to solving several important linear elasticity problems (Eshelby, 1961; Gladwell, 1980; Mura, 2013; Vlassak et al., 2003; Willis, 1967). More recently, surface Green’s function for a Neo-Hookean elastomer with arbitrarily large prestretch has also been obtained (He, 2008). Despite the wide applications of gels, the surface Green’s function of a constrained swelling gel is not yet available. In this section, we will formulate the surface Green’s function of a constrained swelling gel.

Following the nonlinear field theory of a gel summarized above, we regard a dry polymer network as the reference state, which occupies a half space as shown in Fig. 2(a). When the dry polymer network is prestretched and put in contact with a solvent, it can absorb solvent molecules, resulting in a constrained swelling gel as shown in Fig. 2(b). The prestretches of the gel in the two lateral directions are denoted by \( \lambda_{2}^{pre} \) and \( \lambda_{3}^{pre} \). The swelling ratio of the gel in the vertical direction can be obtained by solving Eq. (12). To obtain the surface Green’s function of a constrained swelling gel, as shown in Fig. 2(c), on the upper surface \( x_{3} = 0 \), we apply a force \( fda \) on an infinitesimal element area \( da \) at the location: \( \hat{x} \), which causes an incremental displacement field \( u \). A material element with coordinate \( x \) in the constrained swelling state changes to \( \hat{x} \) after a concentrated force is applied, which can be written as,

\[
\hat{x}_{i} = x_{i} + u_{i}.
\]

Therefore, the deformation gradient in the constrained swelling gel with an applied concentrated force is

\[
\tilde{F}_{IK} = F_{IK}^{0} + \tilde{F}_{IK},
\]

where

\[
F_{IK}^{0} = \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial X_{k}} = \lambda_{1}^{pre} \delta_{i1} \delta_{K1} + \lambda_{2}^{pre} \delta_{i2} \delta_{K2} + \lambda_{3}^{pre} \delta_{i3} \delta_{K3} \text{ and } \tilde{F}_{IK} = \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial X_{k}} = u_{i,k}F_{IK}^{0}.
\]

Similarly, the nominal stress in the gel with the applied concentrated force, which is denoted by \( \tilde{s}_{IK} \), can be written as:

\[
\tilde{s}_{IK} = s_{IK} + \tilde{s}_{IK},
\]

where \( \tilde{s}_{IK} = \frac{\partial W(\hat{F}, \mu)}{\partial F_{IK}} \) and \( s_{IK} \) is the nominal stress in the constrained swelling gel without the application of the concentrated force: \( s_{IK} = \frac{\partial W(F^{0}, \mu)}{\partial F_{IK}} \).

If the additional deformation gradient, namely, \( \tilde{F}_{IK} \) is small, we can keep just the linear order term of the difference between \( \tilde{s}_{IK} \) and \( s_{IK} \), namely,

\[
\tilde{s}_{IK} = \frac{\partial^2 W(F^{0}, \mu)}{\partial F_{IK} \partial F_{KL}} \tilde{F}_{KL}.
\]

Similar to Eqs. (9) and (10), the nominal stress \( \tilde{s}_{IK} \) should also satisfy the force balance equations and boundary conditions:

\[
\tilde{s}_{IK,k} = 0.
\]

\[
\tilde{s}_{IK} N_{K} dA = f_{I} \delta(x - x') dA \text{ at } x_{3} = 0,
\]

where \( dA \) and \( dA \) are the element area in the reference state and constrained swollen state respectively. Subtracting Eqs. (9) and (10) from Eqs. (17) and (18), we have

\[
\tilde{s}_{IK,k} = 0.
\]

\[
\tilde{s}_{IK} N_{K} dA = f_{I} \delta(x - x') dA \text{ at } x_{3} = 0.
\]
Using the formula of Nanson $J N_k dA = F_{jk}^0 n_j d\alpha$, Eq. (20) can be rewritten as
\[
\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{ijk}^0}{J} n_j = f_i \delta(x - x') \quad \text{at} \quad x_3 = 0.
\] (21)

The deformation in the swollen state is homogeneous, enabling the equivalent form of Eq. (19):
\[
\left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{ijk}^0}{J}\right)_j = 0.
\] (22)

The term $\dot{\varepsilon}_{ijk}^0 / J$ in Eq. (21)–(22) can be expressed in terms of tensor using Eq. (16):
\[
\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_{ijk}^0}{J} = C_{ijkl} u_{k,ij},
\] (23)

where $C_{ijkl}$ can be regarded as incremental modulus tensor:
\[
C_{ijkl} = \frac{NK}{J} \left[ \delta_{ik} F_{jk}^0 F_{l'k'}^0 + (\zeta - \psi) \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} + \psi \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right].
\] (24)

satisfying the symmetry $C_{ijkl} = C_{klji}$.

Eqs. (21) and (22) can be simplified as
\[
C_{ijkl} u_{k,ij} = 0,
\] (25)

\[
C_{ijkl} u_{k,il} n_j = f_i \delta(x - x') \quad \text{at} \quad x_3 = 0.
\] (26)

Eqs. (25) and (26) are linearized governing equations for the incremental displacement field $u$. The general method of solving surface Green’s function of an anisotropic linear elastic solid using Fourier transformation has been worked out by Willis (1966, 1967). The detailed process of solving Eqs. (25) and (26) and the complete form of the surface Green's function are given in Appendix. For brevity, here we only summarize the surface Green’s function component $G_{33}(x - x')$, which is needed in the following sections.

\[
G_{33}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi NK} \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{r} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \left\{ t^2 - r^2 \right\} s,
\] (27)

in which $r$, $t$, and $s$ are
\[
r = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_1')^2 + (x_2 - x_2')^2},
\] (28)

\[
t = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^2 (x_2 - x_2')^2 + (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^2 (x_1 - x_1')^2}{\lambda_3}},
\] (29)

\[
s = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^2 (x_2 - x_2')^2 + (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^2 (x_1 - x_1')^2 + r^2 \zeta}{\lambda_3^2 + \zeta}}.
\] (30)

3. Indentation of a constrained swelling gel by a rigid spherical indenter

3.1. Analytical results

With the surface Green’s function obtained in Section 2, we are able to formulate the indentation problem of a constrained swelling gel. As an example, we consider a rigid spherical indenter of radius $R$ pressing onto the surface of a constrained swelling gel, with prestretches $\lambda_1^{\text{pre}}$ and $\lambda_2^{\text{pre}}$ in two lateral directions. The schematic is shown in Fig. 3(a). The indentation depth is denoted by $D$. Due to the anisotropic swelling of the gel, the contact radii between the indenter and the gel in the $x_1$ and $x_2$ directions are generally different, which are denoted as $a$ and $b$ respectively. Both the lateral and vertical dimensions of the gel is assumed to be much larger than the radius of the indenter and the indentation depth, and thus the gel is assumed to occupy a half space in the following calculation. The contact between the indenter and the surface of the gel is assumed to be frictionless and non-adhesive in this section. We will consider the effect of adhesion in the next section as shown in Fig. 3(b).

It is noted that, in the current study, we assume the gel is always in an equilibrium state, indicating that the loading on the gel is slow enough. For the indentation of a gel, the characteristic length for the solvent diffusion in the gel is the indentation radius $a$, and the characteristic time for the solvent diffusion can be estimated as $t \sim a^2 / D_s$, where $D_s$ is the diffusivity of solvent in the gel. If we assume the indentation radius to be around 1 mm, we can estimate the characteristic
time for the gel to reach equilibrium to be around 100 s by using the diffusivity of water in gel $D_s = 10^{-8}$ m$^2$/s (Hu et al., 2010).

Willis (1966, 1967) has proved that for a linear elastic material, the contact area between the material and a rigid spherical indenter is an ellipse and the corresponding pressure distribution in the contact area takes the form:

$$p(x) = p_0 \left( 1 - \frac{x_1^2}{a^2} - \frac{x_2^2}{b^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (31)$$

where $p_0$ is the maximum pressure, $a$ and $b$ are the major and minor axes of the elliptical contact area as shown in Fig. 3(c), respectively. The values of these three parameters are to be determined by the relationship between the depth of indentation and the force applied onto the indenter.

The total force $F$ applied on the indenter can be given by the integration:

$$F = \int \int_S p(x) dx_1 dx_2 = \frac{2\pi}{3} p_0 ab. \quad (32)$$

Using surface Green's function, the normal displacement $u_3(x)$ caused by the pressure $p(x)$ can be calculated through the integration:

$$u_3(x) = \int \int_S G_{33}(x - x') p(x') dx_1' dx_2'. \quad (33)$$

We next perform the coordinate transformation:

$$x_1' = x_1 + r \cos \theta, \quad (34)$$

$$x_2' = x_2 + r \sin \theta. \quad (35)$$

Using Eqs. (34) and (35), we can rewrite the pressure distribution $p(x')$ as

$$p(r, \theta) = \frac{p_0}{ab} \left[ -(b^2 \cos^2 \theta + a^2 \sin^2 \theta) r^2 - 2r(b^2 x_1 \cos \theta + a^2 x_2 \sin \theta) + (a^2 b^2 - b^2 x_1^2 - a^2 x_2^2) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (36)$$

and the surface Green's function $G_{33}(x - x')$ as

$$G_{33}(r, \theta) = \frac{h(\theta)}{2\pi NK_T r}. \quad (37)$$

with

$$h(\theta) = \frac{\lambda_1^\text{pre} \lambda_2^\text{pre} (g(\theta)^2 - 1) f(\theta)}{\lambda_3 \left[ (g(\theta)^2 + 1)^2 - 4g(\theta) f(\theta) \right]} \quad (38)$$

$$g(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1^\text{pre})^2 \sin^2 \theta + (\lambda_2^\text{pre})^2 \cos^2 \theta}{\lambda_3^2}} \quad (39)$$

$$f(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1^\text{pre})^2 \sin^2 \theta + (\lambda_2^\text{pre})^2 \cos^2 \theta + \xi}{\lambda_3^2 + \xi}} \quad (40)$$

In the polar coordinate system, Eq. (33) can be rewritten as:

$$u_3(x) = \int_0^\pi \int_{r_1}^{r_2} G_{33}(r, \theta) p(r, \theta) r dr d\theta. \quad (41)$$
where the integration limits $r_1$ and $r_2$ are the two roots of the equation $(x_1 + r \cos \theta)^2/a^2 + (x_2 + r \sin \theta)^2/b^2 = 1$.

Eq. (41) can be integrated analytically and the result is:

$$u_3(x) = \frac{bp_0}{4NkT} \left( I_0 - I_1 \frac{x_1^2}{a^2} - I_2 \frac{x_2^2}{a^2} \right). \quad (42)$$

with

$$I_0 = \int_0^\pi \frac{h(\theta)}{(1 - e^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{1/2}} d\theta, \quad (43)$$

$$I_1 = \int_0^\pi \frac{h(\theta) \sin^2 \theta}{(1 - e^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{1/2}} d\theta, \quad (44)$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^\pi \frac{h(\theta) \cos^2 \theta}{(1 - e^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{1/2}} d\theta. \quad (45)$$

where $e = \sqrt{1 - b^2/a^2}$ denotes the eccentricity of the contact area as shown in Fig. 3(c).

For a spherical indenter with radius $R$ and indentation depth $D$, the displacement imposed by the surface profile of the rigid indenter can be approximated as,

$$u_3(x) = D - \frac{1}{2R} x_1^2 - \frac{1}{2R} x_2^2. \quad (46)$$

Comparing Eq. (46) with (42), the calculated displacement agrees with the boundary condition when the following equations are satisfied

$$\frac{bp_0}{4NkT} I_0 = D, \quad (47)$$

$$\frac{bp_0}{4a^2 NkT} I_1 = \frac{1}{2R}, \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{bp_0}{4a^2 NkT} I_2 = \frac{1}{2R}. \quad (49)$$

Eqs. (48) and (49) indicate $I_1 = I_2$, which can be used to determine eccentricity $e$ of the contact area:

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{h(\theta)(\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta)}{(1 - e^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{1/2}} d\theta = 0, \quad (50)$$

indicating that the eccentricity of the elliptical contact area is independent of indentation depth $D$.

We can also find that Eqs. (43)–(45) satisfy $I_0 = I_1 + (1 - e^2) I_2$. Combing this relationship with Eqs. (47)–(49), we can further obtain that

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{2RD}{2 - e^2}}. \quad (51)$$

The elliptical contact area is given by $A = \pi ab$, so that

$$A = 2\pi \frac{\sqrt{1 - e^2}}{2 - e^2} RD. \quad (52)$$

The maximum pressure $p_0$ is obtained by plugging Eq. (51) into Eq. (47),

$$p_0 = \frac{4NkT}{I_0} \sqrt{\frac{(2 - e^2)D}{2(1 - e^2)R}} \quad (53)$$

Substituting Eq. (53) into Eq. (32), we have the force vs. depth relation of a constrained swelling gel indented by a rigid spherical indenter as:

$$F = \frac{8\pi NkT}{3I_0} \sqrt{\frac{2R}{2 - e^2} D^3}, \quad (54)$$

which shows that for a constrained swelling gel, the indentation force depends on the indentation depth to $3/2$ power, similar to that for general linear elastic solids (Johnson, 1987). Due to the power law relation of Eq. (54), we can further normalize the indentation force by the indentation depth as:

$$\frac{F}{NkT \sqrt{RD^3}} = \frac{8\pi}{3I_0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2 - e^2}}. \quad (55)$$
Consequently, the normalized indentation force in Eq. (55) only depends on the constrained swelling $\lambda_1^{\text{pre}}$, $\lambda_2^{\text{pre}}$ and chemical potential $\mu$, and is independent of indentation depth and radius of indenter.

The main analytical results obtained above are plotted together with finite element simulations as described in the following.

3.2. Comparison and discussion

To validate the above analytical results, we simulate the indentation of a constrained swelling gel using commercial finite element software ABAQUS with implemented user-defined subroutine (UHYPER) for gel materials (Hong et al., 2009). In the finite element model, a rigid spherical indenter is in contact with a block of swollen gel, and only a quarter of the gel is considered due to the symmetric geometry, as shown in Fig. 4. The width and height of the quarter gel block are set to be 50 times of the indenter radius with approximately 600,000 eight-node linear brick elements (C3D8). Mesh is further refined around the contact area and the element’s lengths are around 1% of the indenter diameter (Fig. 4). Lateral displacement boundary conditions are applied at the side surfaces of the gel to maintain the prestretches, and then vertical displacement is applied on the indenter. The indentation force and deformation field of the gel are calculated by ABAQUS. The contact between the indenter and gel block is set to be frictionless and non-adhesive. For the parameters used in the simulation, $N\Omega = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 0.1$.

According to Eq. (54), the indentation force depends on the indentation depth to 3/2 power, which is validated by the finite element simulation (the validation is not provided here). In the following plots, the force is further normalized as Eq. (55), which becomes independent of indentation depth. The normalized indentation force as a function of constrained lateral swelling ratios ($\lambda_1^{\text{pre}}$ and $\lambda_2^{\text{pre}}$) with chemical potential $\mu = 0$ and $\mu/kT = -0.01$ is plotted in Fig. 5(a) and (b), where the solid lines are theoretical predictions and the different symbols are the simulation results obtained from ABAQUS. It is interesting to note that the relationship between the indentation force and lateral swelling ratio is not always monotonic. For instance, when $\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} = 3$ and $\lambda_2^{\text{pre}} = 4$ (Fig. 5(a)), the normalized indentation force increases and then decreases with the increase of $\lambda_2^{\text{pre}}$. Such nonmonotonic relationship results from the combination of the following two competing effects: it is found in our previous studies (Zheng et al., 2017) that for a Neo-Hookean solid, with the increase of lateral stretch, the indentation force increases; on the other hand, it is known, with the increase of the lateral stretch, the swelling ratio of
the gel increases, which dilutes the polymer content in unit volume of the gel and thus also reduces the stiffness of the gel (Hu et al., 2011b). This nonmonotonic relationship can only be observed when the chemical potential is close to zero, where the swelling ratio of the gel is very sensitive to the variation of its prestretch.

Another important prediction in Fig. 5(a) and (b) is that for certain combination of the constrained lateral swelling ratios, the normalized indentation force can drop to zero, which is clearly an indication of structural instability. Indeed, in previous studies (Kang and Huang, 2010; Weiss et al., 2013), it was found that for a laterally constrained swelling gel layer, surface wrinkle can appear when the constrained swelling ratios reach critical values. For three different \( \lambda_2^{pre} \) values, the critical prestretch ratio \( \lambda_2^{pre} \) values predicted in the previous studies are marked by cross in Fig. 5(a) and (b), which agree perfectly with the predictions from the current study.

In addition to the indentation force, we also plot the dependence of contact area on the constrained lateral swelling ratios for two different chemical potential values of the solvent. We plot the eccentricity of the contact area and the total contact area in Figs. 6 and 7 respectively, which can be used to fully determine the shape of the elliptical contact area. As shown in Fig. 6, the magnitude of the eccentricity reaches zero for equal-biaxial swelling, and increases with the increase of the difference between the swelling ratios in two lateral directions. There is one exception in Fig. 6(b) for \( \lambda_1^{pre} = 1 \): when \( \lambda_2^{pre} \) gets close to one, the magnitude of eccentricity increases rather than decreases. It can be understood as following: with the decrease of \( \lambda_2^{pre} \), the swelling state of the gel approaches the critical condition (marked as crossing points at the horizontal axis in Fig. 5(a) and (b)) for surface wrinkling instability, resulting in great extension of the elliptical contact area in one direction.

Based on Eq. (52), we find that the normalized contact area \( A/\pi DR \) decreases from 1 to 0 monotonically with the increase of the magnitude of eccentricity from 0 to 1. As a result, the contact area reaches maximum for equal-biaxial constrained swelling as shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b), expect for one case in Fig. 7(b) with \( \lambda_1^{pre} = 1 \) as explained above.

---

**Fig. 6.** The magnitude of the eccentricity of the contact area as a function of constrained swelling ratios (\( \lambda_1^{pre} \) and \( \lambda_2^{pre} \)) with chemical potential (a) \( \mu = 0 \) and (b) \( \mu/kT = -0.01 \), where the solid lines are the theoretical predictions and the different symbols are the simulation results obtained from ABAQUS.

**Fig. 7.** Normalized contact area as a function of constrained swelling ratios (\( \lambda_1^{pre} \) and \( \lambda_2^{pre} \)) with chemical potential (a) \( \mu = 0 \) and (b) \( \mu/kT = -0.01 \), where the solid lines are theoretical predictions and the different symbols are the simulation results obtained from ABAQUS.
4. Adhesive contact between a rigid spherical indenter and a constrained swelling gel

In this section, we develop an analytical solution of a rigid spherical indenter pressing onto the surface of a constrained swelling gel with the consideration of the adhesion between the indenter and the gel (Fig. 3(b)), which is ignored in the above section. Following JKR theory (Johnson et al., 1971), we assume the pressure distribution in the elliptical contacting area has the following form:

$$p(x) = p_0 \left(1 - \frac{x_1^2}{a^2} - \frac{x_2^2}{b^2}\right)^{1/2} + p_1 \left(1 - \frac{x_1^2}{a^2} - \frac{x_2^2}{b^2}\right)^{-1/2},$$

where $p_1$ is negative.

Following the similar procedure as Section 3, the normal displacement caused by the pressure distribution in Eq. (56) can be integrated analytically as:

$$u_3(x) = \frac{bp_0}{4NkT} \left( I_0 - I_1 \frac{x_1^2}{a^2} - I_2 \frac{x_2^2}{b^2} \right) + \frac{bp_1}{2NkT} I_0,$$

where $I_0$, $I_1$, and $I_2$ are the same as the ones given in Eqs. (43)-(45). The equation $I_1 = I_2 = I_0/(2 - e^2)$ is still satisfied, so that the eccentricity of the elliptical contact area is still determined by Eq. (50). In the current theory, the eccentricity of the contact area is not affected by adhesion, which has also been obtained in previous studies (Frétigny and Chateauoins, 2017; Gay, 2000).

In addition, we can also get the following equations by comparing Eq. (57) with Eq. (46):

$$p_0 = \frac{2NkT(2 - e^2)a^2}{I_0 b},$$

$$p_0 + 2p_1 = \frac{4NkTD}{I_0 b}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (58)  \hspace{1cm} (59)

The work done by the pressure will be stored as free energy in the gel system and also dissipated by solvent diffusion. In the current work, we assume the gel is always in an equilibrium state, or in another word, the load is very slowly applied, so the dissipated energy by solvent diffusion is negligible. It is also noted that the gel is initially equilibrated with the external solvent, and the gel is equilibrated with the external solvent again after loading, so the chemical potential of solvent does not change after loading. Consequently, the work done by the pressure is stored as elastic energy in the gel, namely:

$$U_E = \int \int \frac{1}{2} p(x)u(x)dx_1dx_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)

Using Eqs. (58) and (59), Eq. (60) can be integrated as:

$$U_E = \frac{\pi NkT}{I_0} \left[ 2D^2a - \frac{2(2 - e^2)D}{3R}a^3 + \frac{(2 - e^2)^2}{10R^2}a^5 \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

According to JKR model, adhesion can decrease the surface energy by the amount of:

$$U_S = -\Delta \gamma \pi ab,$$  \hspace{1cm} (62)

where $\Delta \gamma$ is the work of adhesion.

In the equilibrium state, it is assumed that the total energy reaches minimum, namely,

$$\frac{\partial U_E}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial U_S}{\partial a} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (63)

which results in

$$p_1 = -2\sqrt{\frac{NkT \Delta \gamma}{bl_0}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)

Combining Eqs. (58), (59), and (64), we have

$$D = \frac{(2 - e^2)a^2}{2R} - \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \gamma bl_0}{NkT}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)

The total force applied on the indenter can be calculated similarly as Eq. (32), that is

$$F = \frac{2\pi}{3}p_0ab + 2\pi p_1ab.$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)
Substituting Eqs. (58) and (64) into Eq. (66), we obtain that

\[
F = \frac{4\pi NkT(2 - e^2)a^3}{3Rl_0} - 4\pi \sqrt{NkT\Delta\gamma a^2b \over l_0}.
\]

(67)

By introducing the definition of the mean contact radius as \(\bar{a} = \sqrt{a_b}\), we plot the radius \(\bar{a}\) as a function of indentation force in Fig. 8(a)--(f), where the chemical potential of solvent is chosen to be \(\mu = 0\) or \(\mu/kT = -0.01\) and work of adhesion is fixed as \(\Delta\gamma / NkT = 0.1\).

The combination of Eqs. (65) and (67) fully determines the relationship between the indentation force and indentation depth. The result in Eq. (54) can be recovered by setting \(\Delta\gamma = 0\) in Eqs. (65) and (67). The relationship between the indentation force and indentation depth for a gel in different constrained swelling states is plotted in Fig. 9(a)--(f), where the chemical potential of the solvent is chosen to be \(\mu = 0\) or \(\mu/kT = -0.01\) and the work of adhesion is fixed as \(\Delta\gamma / NkT = 0.1\).
When adhesion is considered, the relationship between the indentation force and indentation depth as shown in Fig. 9(a)–(f) no longer obeys the simple power law and becomes non-monotonic. More importantly, a tensile force can exist between the indenter and the gel surface, which corresponds to the negative force in Fig. 9(a)–(f) which is denoted by $F_c$ and often named as pull-off force. The corresponding distance between the indenter and the gel surface is denoted by $D_c$ and the corresponding force is

$$F_c = -\frac{3\pi R \gamma}{n} \sqrt{1 - e^2/(2 - e^2)},$$

and the corresponding critical mean contact radius $\tilde{a}_c = (\Delta \gamma/NkT)^{1/3} R^{2/3} [96(1 - e^2)/128(2 - e^2)]^{2/3}$, which are marked in Fig. 8(a)–(f).

If the displacement of the indenter is controlled during pulling, the distance between the indenter and the gel surface can reach the maximal value before separation. The maximal distance is denoted by $D_d$ and the corresponding force is
denoted by $F_d$, both of which are marked in Fig. 9(a)–(c). By solving Eqs. (65) and (67), together with $dD/dF = 0$, we can obtain that $D_d = 3^{2/3}D_c$. Using the same three equations, we can further get $F_d = 5/9F_c$ and the corresponding contact radius $\bar{d}_d = 3^{-2/3}\bar{d}_c$, which is also shown in Fig. 8(a)–(f).

In Fig. 10(a)–(c), we plot the pull-off force ($F_c$ and $F_d$), critical distance at separation ($D_c$ and $D_d$), and critical mean contact radius at separation ($\bar{a}_c$ and $\bar{a}_d$) as functions of one constrained lateral swelling ratio of the gel ($\lambda_2^{\text{pre}}$) with the other one fixed at three different values ($\lambda_1^{\text{pre}}$). We can clearly see from Fig. 10(a)–(c) that the constrained swelling state of a gel can have significant effects on the pull-off force, critical distance at separation, and critical contact radius at separation, which has not been discussed in previous studies.

At last, it is worth mentioning that for the adhesion theory adopted in the current work, the stress intensity factor is not a constant along the perimeter of the contact area. A new theory has been recently developed (Barber and Ciavarella, 2014; Johnson and Greenwood, 2005), in which the pressure distribution takes a different form and the stress intensity factors at the ends of the major and minor axes of the elliptical contact area can be enforced to be equal if the fracture toughness is isotropic. Consequently, the stress intensity factor from the new theory varies to a lesser extent along the perimeter of the contact area, with comparison to the current theory. In addition, the new theory predicts that the eccentricity of the
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Appendix

Eqs. (25)–(26) can be solved using Fourier transformation. Performing the Fourier transforms of displacement $u_i$ in the $x_1$ and $x_2$ directions:

$$U_i(\xi, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u_i(x, x_3) e^{i\xi x} dx_1 dx_2.$$  \hfill (A1)

We can then express Eqs. (25)–(26) as

$$C_{33k3}U_{k,33} - i\xi_\alpha (C_{10k3} + C_{3k0})U_{k,3} - \xi_\beta \xi_\rho C_{\alpha k}{\rho}U_{k} = 0 \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2.$$ \hfill (A2)

$$C_{ijk}U_{k,1}n_j = f_i e^{i\xi x} \quad \text{at} \quad x_3 = 0. \hfill (A3)$$

According to Eq. (24), the nonzero components of modulus tensor are followings:

$$C_{1111} = \frac{NK\lambda}{J} (\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} + \xi) \quad \text{C}_{2222} = \frac{NK\lambda}{J} (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}} + \xi) \quad \text{C}_{3333} = \frac{NK\lambda}{J} (\lambda_3^{\text{pre}} + \xi).$$ \hfill (A4)

$$C_{2121} = C_{3131} = \frac{NK\lambda}{J} (\lambda_1^{\text{pre}})^2 \quad \text{C}_{1212} = C_{3232} = \frac{NK\lambda}{J} (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}})^2 \quad \text{C}_{1313} = C_{2323} = \frac{NK\lambda}{J} (\lambda_3^{\text{pre}})^2. \hfill (A5)$$

$$C_{1122} = C_{2211} = C_{1133} = C_{3311} = C_{2233} = C_{3322} = \frac{NK\lambda}{J} \psi. \hfill (A6)$$

$$C_{2112} = C_{1221} = C_{3113} = C_{1331} = C_{3223} = C_{3322} = \frac{NK\lambda}{J} (\lambda - \psi). \hfill (A7)$$

Substituting Eq. (A4)–(A7) into Eq. (A2) yields the transformed force balance equations:

$$\lambda_3^2 U_{1,33} - i\xi \xi_3 U_{1,3} - \left( (\lambda_1^{\text{pre}})^2 \xi_3^2 + (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}})^2 \xi_3^2 + \xi \xi_3^2 \right) U_1 - \xi \xi_3 U_2 = 0. \hfill (A8)$$

$$\lambda_3^2 U_{2,33} - i\xi \xi_2 U_{2,3} - \xi \xi_1 \xi_2 U_1 - \left( (\lambda_1^{\text{pre}})^2 \xi_2^2 + (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}})^2 \xi_2^2 + \xi \xi_2^2 \right) U_2 = 0. \hfill (A9)$$

$$\left( \lambda_3^2 + \xi \right) U_{3,33} - i\xi \xi U_{1,3} - i\xi \xi U_{1,2} - \left( (\lambda_1^{\text{pre}})^2 \xi_3^2 + (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}})^2 \xi_3^2 \right) U_3 = 0. \hfill (A10)$$
The ordinary differential equation system in Eq. (A8)–(A10) has the general solution \( U_i(\xi, x_3) = \sum_{k=1}^{6} c_i^1 e^{\eta_i (\xi / \lambda) x_3} \), and \( m_A \) can be solved from the characteristic equations:

\[
m_{1,2} = \eta, \quad m_3 = \gamma, \quad m_{4,5} = -\eta, \quad m_6 = -\gamma.
\]

where \( \eta = \sqrt{\left( \lambda_1^\text{pre} \right)^2 \xi_1^2 + \left( \lambda_2^\text{pre} \right)^2 \xi_2^2 / \lambda_3}, \quad \gamma = \sqrt{\left( \lambda_1^\text{pre} \right)^2 \xi_1^2 + \left( \lambda_2^\text{pre} \right)^2 \xi_2^2 + \left( \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 \right) \gamma / \lambda_3^2 + \zeta}. \) To meet the condition that the stress vanishes when \( x_3 \) approaches \(-\infty\), only positive values in (A11) \((m_1, m_2 \text{ and } m_3)\) should be kept.

Substituting Eqs. (A4)–(A7) into Eq. (A3), and using the normal vector \( n_j = \delta_{3j} \) at \( x_3 = 0 \), we can obtain the transformed boundary conditions:

\[
\lambda_2^3 U_{1,3} - i(\zeta - \psi) \xi_1 U_3 = \frac{1}{NkT} f_1 e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
\lambda_2^3 U_{2,3} - i(\zeta - \psi) \xi_2 U_3 = \frac{1}{NkT} f_2 e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
(\lambda_2^3 + \zeta) U_{3,3} - i\psi \xi_1 U_1 - i\psi \xi_2 U_2 = \frac{1}{NkT} f_3 e^{i \zeta x}.
\]

Plugging the general solution \( U_i(\xi, x_3) = c_1^1 e^{\eta x_3} + c_2^1 \xi_3 e^{\eta x_3} + c_3^1 e^{\gamma x_3} \) into Eqs. (A8)–(A10) and Eqs. (A12)–(A14), the parameters in the general solution can be determined

\[
c_1^1 = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ (\eta^2 + \xi^2) (\eta^2 + \xi^2) - 4 \eta \gamma \xi_2^2 f_1 + \frac{4 \eta \gamma - \left( \eta^2 + \xi^2 \right)}{\eta} \xi_1 \xi_2 f_2 + 2 \eta \gamma \xi_1 f_3 i \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
c_2^1 = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ 4 \eta \gamma - \left( \eta^2 + \xi^2 \right) \xi_2 f_1 + \frac{(\eta^2 + \xi^2) (\eta^2 + \xi^2)}{\eta} - 4 \eta \gamma \xi_1^2 f_2 + 2 \eta \gamma \xi_2 f_3 i \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
c_3^1 = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ (\eta^2 + \xi^2) \xi_1 f_1 i + (\eta^2 + \xi^2) \xi_2 f_2 i - 2 \xi_2^2 \gamma f_3 \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
c_1^2 = c_2^2 = c_3^2 = 0,
\]

\[
c_1^2 = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ -2 \eta \xi_1^2 f_1 - 2 \eta \xi_1 \xi_2 f_2 - (\eta^2 + \xi^2) \xi_1 f_3 i \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
c_2^2 = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ -2 \eta \xi_1 \xi_2 f_1 - 2 \eta \xi_2^2 f_2 - (\eta^2 + \xi^2) \xi_2 f_3 i \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
c_3^2 = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ -2 \eta \gamma \xi_1 f_1 i - 2 \eta \gamma \xi_2 f_2 i + (\eta^2 + \xi^2) f_3 \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

where \( \omega = \lambda_3 [(\eta^2 + \xi^2)^2 - 4 \xi^2 \eta \gamma] / \lambda_1^\text{pre} \lambda_2^\text{pre} \) and \( \xi \) is the norm of \( \xi \). The above solutions work for the condition \( \gamma \neq \eta \) and \( \gamma \neq 0 \).

Using the definition of surface Green's function \( u_i(x) = G_{ij}(x - x') f_j \), the transformed surface Green's function takes the form

\[
\Gamma_{11}(\xi) = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ (\eta^2 + \xi^2) (\eta^2 + \xi^2) - 4 \eta \gamma \xi_2^2 - 2 \eta^2 \xi_1^2 \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
\Gamma_{22}(\xi) = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ (\eta^2 + \xi^2) (\eta^2 + \xi_1^2) - 4 \eta \gamma \xi_1^2 - 2 \eta^2 \xi_2^2 \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
\Gamma_{33}(\xi) = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ (\eta^2 - \xi^2) \gamma \right] e^{i \zeta x},
\]

\[
\Gamma_{12}(\xi) = \Gamma_{21}(\xi) = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{1}{i \omega} \left[ 4 \eta \gamma - \xi^2 - 3 \eta^2 \right] \xi_1 \xi_2 e^{i \zeta x}.
\]
\[ \Gamma_{13}(\xi) = -\Gamma_{31}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\omega \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \left[ (2\eta \gamma - \eta^2 - \xi^2) \xi_1 \right] i e^{i \xi x}, \]  
(A26)

\[ \Gamma_{23}(\xi) = -\Gamma_{32}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\omega \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \left[ (2\eta \gamma - \eta^2 - \xi^2) \xi_2 \right] i e^{i \xi x}. \]  
(A27)

The surface Green’s function can be further obtained using inverse Fourier transform

\[ G_{11}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi \eta \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \left[ \frac{(t^2 + r^2)}{\lambda_3} \left( (t^2 + r^2)^2 - 4r^2 ts \right) \right], \]  
(A28)

\[ G_{22}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi \eta \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \left[ \frac{(t^2 + r^2)}{\lambda_3} \left( (t^2 + r^2)^2 - 4r^2 ts \right) \right], \]  
(A29)

\[ G_{33}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi \eta \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \left[ \frac{(t^2 - r^2)}{\lambda_3} \right] \left( (t^2 + r^2)^2 - 4r^2 ts \right), \]  
(A30)

\[ G_{12}(x - x') = G_{21}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi \eta \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \left[ \frac{(t^2 + r^2)}{\lambda_3} \right] \left( (t^2 + r^2)^2 - 4r^2 ts \right), \]  
(A31)

\[ G_{13}(x - x') = -G_{31}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi \eta \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \int_0^\pi \frac{(t^2 + r^2)}{\lambda_3} \left[ \frac{(t^2 + r^2)^2 - 4r^2 \rho_1 \cos \theta}{p^2 + r^2} \right] d\theta, \]  
(A32)

\[ G_{23}(x - x') = -G_{32}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi \eta \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \int_0^\pi \frac{(t^2 + r^2)}{\lambda_3} \left[ \frac{(t^2 + r^2)^2 - 4r^2 \rho_2 \cos \theta}{p^2 + r^2} \right] d\theta. \]  
(A33)

where

\[ r = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_1')^2 + (x_2 - x_2')^2}, \]  
(A34)

\[ t = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1^{\text{pre}})^2 (x_2 - x_2')^2 + (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}})^2 (x_1 - x_1')^2}{\lambda_3^2}}. \]  
(A35)

\[ s = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1^{\text{pre}})^2 (x_2 - x_2')^2 + (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}})^2 (x_1 - x_1')^2 + r^2 \xi}{\lambda_3^2 + \xi}}. \]  
(A36)

\[ \rho_1 = (x_1 - x_1') \cos \theta - (x_2 - x_2') \sin \theta, \]  
(A37)

\[ \rho_2 = (x_1 - x_1') \sin \theta + (x_2 - x_2') \cos \theta, \]  
(A38)

\[ p = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1^{\text{pre}})^2 \rho_2^2 + (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}})^2 \rho_1^2}{\lambda_3^2}}. \]  
(A39)

\[ q = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1^{\text{pre}})^2 \rho_2^2 + (\lambda_2^{\text{pre}})^2 \rho_1^2 + r^2 \xi}{\lambda_3^2 + \xi}}. \]  
(A40)

As stated before, the above solution only works for the condition \( \gamma \neq \eta \) and \( \gamma \neq 0 \). When the conditions are not satisfied, degenerated solutions should be derived. There are only two cases when \( \gamma = \eta \): one is that \( \lambda_1^{\text{pre}} = \lambda_2^{\text{pre}} = \lambda_3 \), which corresponds to the gel with isotropic swelling; the other is \( \xi = 0 \), which is only possible when \( \chi > 0.5 \).
For the first case $\lambda_3^{\text{pre}} = \lambda_2^{\text{pre}} = \lambda_3 = \lambda$, the solution to Eq. (A2)–(A3) is

$$U_i(\xi, x_3) = c_i^1e^{i\xi x_3} + c_i^2x_3e^{i\xi x_3},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A41)

in which

$$c_i^1 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \left[ \frac{(\lambda^2 + \xi_1^2 + 2\xi\xi_2)}{\xi^3}f_1 + \frac{(\lambda^2 - \xi_1^2)}{\xi^3}f_2 + \frac{\lambda^2\xi_1}{\xi^2}f_3i \right] e^{i\xi x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A42)

$$c_i^2 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \left[ \frac{(\lambda^2 - \xi_1^2)}{\xi^3}f_1 + \frac{(\lambda^2 + \xi_1^2)}{\xi^3}f_2 + \frac{2\lambda\xi_1}{\xi^2}f_3i \right] e^{i\xi x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A43)

$$c_i^3 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \left[ -\frac{\lambda^2\xi_1}{\xi^2}f_1i - \frac{\lambda^2\xi_2}{\xi^2}f_2i + \frac{\lambda^2 + \xi_1}{\xi}f_3 \right] e^{i\xi x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A44)

$$c_i^4 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \left[ \frac{\xi_1^2}{\xi^2}f_1 + \frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{\xi^2}f_2 + \frac{\xi_1}{\xi}f_3i \right] e^{i\xi x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A45)

$$c_i^5 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \left[ \frac{\xi_2^2}{\xi^2}f_1 + \frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{\xi^2}f_2 + \frac{\xi_2}{\xi}f_3i \right] e^{i\xi x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A46)

$$c_i^6 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \left[ \frac{\xi_1}{\xi}f_1i + \frac{\xi_2}{\xi}f_2i - f_3 \right] e^{i\xi x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A47)

The surface Green’s function of the first case is

$$G_11(x - x') = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \frac{(\lambda^2 + \xi_1^2)(x_2 - x_2')^2 + 2\xi(x_1 - x_1')^2}{r^3},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A48)

$$G_{22}(x - x') = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \frac{(\lambda^2 + \xi_2^2)(x_1 - x_1')^2 + 2\xi(x_2 - x_2')^2}{r^3},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A49)

$$G_{33}(x - x') = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \frac{(\lambda^2 + \xi_1^2)1}{r},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A50)

$$G_{12}(x - x') = G_{21}(x - x') = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda}{2\xi} \frac{(\lambda^2 - \xi^2)(x_1 - x_1')(x_2 - x_2')}{r^3},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A51)

$$G_{13}(x - x') = -G_{31}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 NK T} \int_0^\pi \frac{\lambda^3}{2\xi} \frac{\rho_1}{r^2 \cos ^2 \theta} d\theta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A52)

$$G_{23}(x - x') = -G_{32}(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 NK T} \int_0^\pi \frac{\lambda^3}{2\xi} \frac{\rho_2}{r^2 \cos ^2 \theta} d\theta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A53)

where $r, \rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ are defined as Eq. (A34) and (A37)–(A38).

For the second case $\xi = 0$, the solution to Eq. (A2)–(A3) is

$$U_i(\xi, x_3) = c_i e^{i\xi x_3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A54)

with

$$c_i^1 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}}}{(\eta^2 - \xi^2)\lambda_3} \left[ \frac{\eta^2 - \xi_2^2}{\eta}f_1 + \frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{\eta}f_2 + \xi_1 f_3i \right] e^{i\xi x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A55)

$$c_i^2 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda_2^{\text{pre}}}{(\eta^2 - \xi^2)\lambda_3} \left[ \frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{\eta}f_1 + \frac{\eta^2 - \xi_1^2}{\eta}f_2 + \xi_2 f_3i \right] e^{i\xi x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A56)

$$c_i^3 = \frac{1}{NK T} \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}}}{(\eta^2 - \xi^2)\lambda_3} \left[ -\xi_1 f_1i - \xi_2 f_2i + \eta f_3 \right] e^{i\xi x}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A57)
The surface Green’s function of the second case is

\[
G_{11}(x-x') = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} \lambda_2^{\text{pre}} t^2}{\lambda_3} \frac{(x_1-x_1')^2}{(t^2-r^2)t}, \\
G_{22}(x-x') = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} \lambda_2^{\text{pre}} t^2}{\lambda_3} \frac{(x_2-x_2')^2}{(t^2-r^2)t},
\]

(A58)

\[
G_{33}(x-x') = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} \lambda_2^{\text{pre}} t}{(t^2-r^2)t},
\]

(A59)

\[
G_{12}(x-x') = \frac{1}{NkT} \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} \lambda_2^{\text{pre}}}{\lambda_3} \frac{(x_1-x_1')(x_2-x_2')}{(t^2-r^2)t},
\]

(A60)

\[
G_{13}(x-x') = -G_{31}(x-x') = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 NkT} \int_0^\pi \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} \lambda_2^{\text{pre}}}{\lambda_3} \frac{1}{(p^2-r^2)} \rho_1 d\theta,
\]

(A61)

\[
G_{23}(x-x') = -G_{32}(x-x') = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 NkT} \int_0^\pi \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} \lambda_2^{\text{pre}}}{\lambda_3} \frac{1}{(p^2-r^2)} \rho_2 d\theta,
\]

(A62)

\[
G_{23}(x-x') = -G_{32}(x-x') = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 NkT} \int_0^\pi \frac{\lambda_1^{\text{pre}} \lambda_2^{\text{pre}}}{\lambda_3} \frac{1}{(p^2-r^2)} \rho_2 d\theta,
\]

(A63)

where the definitions of \( r, t, p_1, p_2 \) and \( p \) are given in Eqs. (A34)–(A35) and (A37)–(A39).
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